



# ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజపత్రము

## THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

W.No.35

AMARAVATI, TUESDAY , SEPTEMBER 5, 2017

G.185

### PART II - MISCELLANEOUS NOTIFICATIONS OF INTEREST TO THE PUBLIC

--X--

### NOTIFICATIONS BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS Etc.,

#### ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DIST. SURVEY & LAND RECORDS, SRIKAKULAM

REMOVED FROM SERVICE OF SRI.S.RAMA RAJU DEPUTY SURVEYOR (TF) OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,SURVEY & LAND RECORDS, SRIKAKULAM. DIARIES NOT SUBMITTED - FROM 01.07.2010 TO TILL TO DATE-DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDING UNDER RULE 20 OF THE APCS (CCA) RULE 1991—INITIATED AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL FOR VIOLATION OF APCS RULES 1964-CHARGE MEMO SERVED ON THE INDIVIDUAL WIFE-EXPLANATION NOT RECEIVED- ENQUIRY OFFICER APPOINTED - CHARGES PROVED-ENQUIRY REPORT COMMUNICATED- FINAL ORDERS ISSUED.

- Ref : 1. This office Charge Memo. A8/843/201 Dated: 30.7.2013.  
2. This office Proceedings Rc.843/10/A3 Dated: 23.11.2013.  
3. Enquiry report dated: 2.11.2015 of the Deputy Inspector of Survey, Srikakulam.  
4. This office notice A3/1399/2016 Dated: 15.12.2016.  
5. AP State gazette No. 1/ Dated: 5.01.2017.  
6. G.O.Ms.No.260 GA (Ser.c) department Dated: 4.09.2003.

\*\*\*

**Rc.No.1399/2016/A3,-** The following article of charge has been framed against to Sri.S.Rama Raju, Deputy Surveyor Vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above with a direction to submit his explanation within 30 days.

**Articles 1 :** That the said Sri.S.Rama Raju, Deputy Surveyor who has previously working in the O/o the Assistant Director, Survey and Land Records, Srikakulam has not submitted his monthly tour diaries for a period from 7/2010 to till to date and not attending duties from 01/08/2010 which is violation of rules. It is serious in nature and also proves negligence and carelessness of the individual while discharging his legitimate duties. The act of the individual in violation of BSO and also violation of Rule 3 of APSCS conduct Rules 1964.

The Article of Charges was issued in the reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited but the Article of Charges was served on his wife on 07.12.2013 at the charged employee was not traced and the charged employee has not submitted (the) his explanation.

Later Sri G.Venkata Rao, Deputy Inspector of Survey, Revenue Divisional Office, Srikakulam has appointed as an enquiry authority under Rule 20 (2) of APSCS (CCA) Rules 1991 vide reference 2nd cited read above to inquire in to charges framed vide references 1<sup>st</sup> read above.

The inquiring authority has submitted his enquiry report vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, in which the enquiry officer reported that the charges framed against Sri S.Rama Raju, Deputy Surveyor TF, Srikakulam vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above as proved.

In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above a copy of the inquiry report was communicated to the charged employee through AP State gazette to him duly calling for his written representation if any within 15 days and also informed that in case of non submission of written representation within the time, further course of action will be taken on the strength of the material available on record in this office. Even elapse of above 2 months the charged employee has not submitted his written statement nor responded too.

In view of the above, the undersigned, who is competent authority to the category of Deputy Surveyors as per AP. S&LRs. Subordinate Service Rules, issued vide G.O. Ms. No. 102, Revenue Dated: 05.02.2001 is observed that:

1. Submission of monthly diary is the only proof that the survey staff are on duty and are disposing of the work entrusted to them. Hence, it should be made compulsory that each member of the field staff submits his diary with record at the time of centre-checks. In this case the Accused officer totally failed to submit his tour diaries since 7/2010 to till to date.
2. As per Cir. Instructions issued by the Commissioner, Survey, S&LRs, A P., Hyderabad issued vide Cir. Rc.No. 2114/20104 dated 12.07.2004, deterrent and prompt disciplinary action should be taken against chronic diary defaulters and appropriate punishment should be awarded to cut the evil practice of non submission of diaries.
3. Government vide reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above, ordered that in all cases of unauthorized absence to duty for a continuous period exceeding "One Year" a penalty of removal from service shall be imposed on a government employee, after duly following the procedure laid down in the APSCS (CC&A) Rules 1991.

4. The charged employee not submitted his tour diaries for years together and Article of Charges issued to the individual vide Progs. Rc.843/10/A8 Dated: 30.07.2013 of the Assistant Director, S&LRs, Srikakulam, but the Article of Charges served on his wife on 07.12.2013, as the whereabouts are not known also to his wife. So, he is a chronic diary defaulter and also absented duties un authorizedly from 01.08.2010.
5. The individual under question is deserved for severe punishment as proposed under rule 9 (ix) of APSCS CC&A Rules, 1991 i.e. removal from service with immediate effect, as he completely failed to submit his tour diaries 7/2010 to till to date, which is the only proof/evidence that the Deputy Surveyor is on duty and later he has also not turned out his duties since 01.08.2010 and also not appeared either before the under signed till to date is the reasons that the Deputy Surveyor is not interested to continue in Government service. Hence, it is deemed that the individual under question has been un authorizedly absented duties for years together and concluded that there is no need to initiate separate disciplinary action regarding the abscondance as per AP CS CC&A Rules, 1991. As such, the individual under question is deserved for major punishment.

In this case the charged employee not submitted his tour diaries years together and he un authorisedly absented from duty w.e.f 01/08/20110 to till to date ie. more than one year without giving any intimation. The inquiring authority who was appointed under the provisions of the said rules to conduct the inquiry also reported that the charges framed against the charged employee are proved.

In view of the report of the enquiry officer and also in view of the provisions laid down in APCS CC&A Rules, 1991 read with instruction of the Government issued vide G.O.Ms.No.260 GA (Ser.c) department Dated: 4.09.2003, the issue thoroughly, carefully examined with the available material on record and the penalty of the removal from service, has been imposed on Sri.S.Rama Raju, Deputy Surveyor, Survey and Land Records, Srikakulam under Rule 9 (IX) of APSCS (CC&A) Rules 1991.

Accordingly the disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri .S.Rama Raju, Deputy Surveyor TF, Survey and Land Records, Srikakulam or disposed off.

An appeal on these orders may prefer before the appellate authority i.e. Regional Deputy Director, S&LRs. Kakinada within three months from the date of receipt of these orders as per Rule 33 & 35 of APSCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991.

**D.B.D.B. KUMAR,**  
*Assistant Director,*  
*Dist. Survey and Land Records,*  
*Srikakulam.*

To

Sri S.Rama Raju, Deputy Surveyor TF, Srikakulam through AP, State Gazette.

---X---